Dr. Francisco Layrisse

WS24/25 • Cases Studies in Sustainability Management and Social Innovation 82388

Rückläufer = 7 • Formular w_WS2324_BA_EN1 • Umfrage-Typ "Allgemein"

Legende

Fragetext



n=Anzahl
mw=Mittelwert
s=Std.-Abw.
E.=Enthaltung

1. Support and Lecture Concept

1.1) The students are supported well within the course



n=7
mw=4
s=0

1.2) Good/bad support (see question 1.1), because...

- It‘s always an Open converation about the topics and you can ask questions Right away. The lecturer is also explaining it very good.
- We have all the necessary files and get all tge necessary knowledge to participate effectively in the course.

1.3) The lecturer is able to transfer the knowledge very well



n=7
mw=3.86
s=0.38

1.4) The teaching materials used during the lecture (e. g. slides, literature, StudOn) help to understand the content better



n=7
mw=3.86
s=0.38

1.5) The lecturer presents the material in an understandable way



n=7
mw=4
s=0

1.6) The lecturer clarifies the relevance of the material covered



n=7
mw=3.86
s=0.38

2. Interactivity and Study Progress Self-Review

2.1) The level of interactivity is appropriate for the concept of the course



n=7
mw=4
s=0

2.2) I have the opportunity to ask arising questions in this course



n=7
mw=4
s=0

2.3) The lecturer motivates to ask questions and goes into detail about them



n=7
mw=4
s=0

2.4) In this course, I am enabled to review my learning progress and results on a regular basis



n=7
mw=3.86
s=0.38

3. Motivation and Structure

3.1) The course motivates me to deal with the topics regularly during the semester



n=7
mw=3.86
s=0.38

3.2) My interest in the course's topics increased heavily



n=7
mw=3.57
s=0.53

3.3) I am always aware of the point in time when a specific task has to be completed



n=7
mw=3.86
s=0.38

3.4) The course contributes to my ability to structure my studies in the course of the semester



n=6
mw=3.5
s=0.84

4. Challenge and Scope

4.1) The degree of difficulty of the course is



n=7
mw=3.14
s=0.38

4.2) The scope of course contents is



n=7
mw=3
s=0

4.3) The course speed is



n=7
mw=3.14
s=1.07

4.4) The previous knowledge expected in the course is



n=7
mw=2.86
s=0.69

5. Overall Lecture Assessment

5.1) What grade do you assign the course in general?



n=7
mw=1.43
s=1.13

5.2) I learned a lot in the course



n=7
mw=3.71
s=0.49

5.3) The course is structured clearly



n=7
mw=3.71
s=0.49

5.4) The content is aligned with clearly defined learning objectives



n=6
mw=3.83
s=0.41

5.5) What do you particularly like about the course?

- Pacho can explain the most complex topic in a vert simple way.
  The simulation was also cool.
- That you dont have to narrowly learn certain concepts. And take Part in a much broader approach on certain topics in wich you can bring your own ideas in.
- The competitiveness and interactivity
- The interaction and reflection of ideas
- the interaction within the course, and the feedback you get on a regularly basis

5.6) What are your suggestions for improvement? What could be implemented from other lectures?

- I think its a very unique course. Sometimes we dont have enough time in class to dive deep into some topics but in my opinon it is still enough time to learn about it and develop interest in the topic.
- I think that the participation tokens need a more tangible criteria
- Maybe a bit less assignments
- Mention even more examples from the real business world

5.7) What other content related or overall social issues should be considered in the lecture?

- Maybe about specific materials and how sustainable products are made of.
- Maybe how artificial Intelligence could Affect buisnesses and how it could help / or be bad for Focus on sustainability

6. Self-Study and Study Behavior

6.1) How much time did you spend for this course until now? Please specify in hours per week on average.

n=7



6.2) What learning style do you prefer?

n=7



7. Sociodemographic Data and Background Variables

7.1) Please state your study programme

n=7



7.2) For how many semesters (including the current) have you been participating in your study programme?

n=7



Profillinie

Teilbereich: Onlineevaluationen_FB_Wiwi
Name der/des Lehrenden: Dr. Francisco Layrisse
Titel der Lehrveranstaltung: (Name der Umfrage) Cases Studies in Sustainability Management and Social Innovation 82388

Verwendete Werte in der Profillinie: Mittelwert

1. Support and Lecture Concept

1.1)	The students are supported well within the course	I disagree	I agree	n=7	mw=4
1.3)	The lecturer is able to transfer the knowledge very well	I disagree	I agree	n=7	mw=3.86
1.4)	The teaching materials used during the lecture (e.g. slides, literature, StudOn) help to understand the content better	I disagree	I agree	n=7	mw=3.86
1.5)	The lecturer presents the material in an understandable way	I disagree	I agree	n=7	mw=4
1.6)	The lecturer clarifies the relevance of the material covered	I disagree	I agree	n=7	mw=3.86

2. Interactivity and Study Progress Self-Review



2.1)	The level of interactivity is appropriate for the concept of the course	I disagree	I agree	n=7	mw=4
2.2)	I have the opportunity to ask arising questions in this course	I disagree	I agree	n=7	mw=4
2.3)	The lecturer motivates to ask questions and goes into detail about them	I disagree	I agree	n=7	mw=4
2.4)	In this course, I am enabled to review my learning progress and results on a regular basis	I disagree	I agree	n=7	mw=3.86

3. Motivation and Structure



3.1)	The course motivates me to deal with the topics regularly during the semester	I disagree	I agree	n=7	mw=3.86
3.2)	My interest in the course's topics increased heavily	I disagree	I agree	n=7	mw=3.57
3.3)	I am always aware of the point in time when a specific task has to be completed	I disagree	I agree	n=7	mw=3.86
3.4)	The course contributes to my ability to structure my studies in the course of the semester	I disagree	I agree	n=6	mw=3.5

4. Challenge and Scope



4.1)	The degree of difficulty of the course is	much too low	much too high	n=7	mw=3.14
4.2)	The scope of course contents is	much too low	much too high	n=7	mw=3
4.3)	The course speed is	much too low	much too high	n=7	mw=3.14
4.4)	The previous knowledge expected in the course is	much too low	much too high	n=7	mw=2.86

5. Overall Lecture Assessment

5.1)	What grade do you assign the course in general?	very good (1)		insufficient (5)	n=7	mw=1.43
5.2)	I learned a lot in the course	I disagree		I agree	n=7	mw=3.71
5.3)	The course is structured clearly	I disagree		I agree	n=7	mw=3.71
5.4)	The content is aligned with clearly defined learning objectives	I disagree		I agree	n=6	mw=3.83